21 C.J.S. Courts § 209

Corpus Juris Secundum | May 2023 Update

Courts

M. Elaine Buccieri, J.D.; James Buchwalter, J.D.; Amy G. Gore, J.D., of the staff of the National Legal Research Group, Inc; and Lonnie E. Griffith, Jr., J.D.

- VI. Rules of Adjudication, Decisions, and Opinions
- **B. Stare Decisis**
- 2. Courts Making Prior Decision

§ 209. Courts of other states—Construction of other state's law

Topic Summary | References | Correlation Table

West's Key Number Digest

West's Key Number Digest, Courts 95(2)

In construing or applying provisions of the constitution or statutes of another state, a court should usually follow the decisions of the courts of that state.

If a question concerning the construction or effect of the constitution or statutes of a state arises in the courts of another state, the decisions of the court of last resort of the state in which the provision at issue was promulgated should be followed. This rule is not mandated by the Full Faith and Credit Clause of the Federal Constitution. Instead, it rests on the doctrine of comity, though a court need not apply the doctrine if the highest court of the other state has given different constructions to a statute at different times, and rights may have been acquired under the former construction.

Westlaw. © 2023 Thomson Reuters. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works.

Footnotes

Ark.—Magnolia Petroleum Co. v. Turner, 188 Ark. 177, 65 S.W.2d 1 (1933).

Colo.—U. S. Fidelity & Guaranty Co. v. Industrial Com'n, 99 Colo. 280, 61 P.2d 1033 (1936).

Mo.—Rositzky v. Rositzky, 329 Mo. 662, 46 S.W.2d 591 (1931).

Or.—Peterson v. Ely, 279 Or. 581, 569 P.2d 1059 (1977).

Wash.—Scherer v. Alaska Shamrock Marble Co., 185 Wash. 614, 56 P.2d 684 (1936).

Mo.—Esmar v. Haeussler, 234 Mo. App. 217, 115 S.W.2d 54 (1938).

Pa.—Nesbit v. Clark, 272 Pa. 161, 116 A. 404, 25 A.L.R. 1406 (1922).

Pa.—Nesbit v. Clark, 272 Pa. 161, 116 A. 404, 25 A.L.R. 1406 (1922).

End of Document

© 2023 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.